UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,167	03/01/2004	Jay S. Walker	03-028 1011	
22927 WALKER DIC	7590 10/09/200 GITAL MANAGEMEN	EXAMINER		
2 HIGH RIDG	E PARK	NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC		
STAMFORD, CT 06905			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3714		
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ك

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/790,167 WALKE		WALKER ET AL.	ER ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Binh-An D. N	guyen	3714			
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from the control of the c	CUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY GER, FROM THE MAILING DAY Available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 he mailing date of this communication. Ified above, the maximum statutory period vor extended period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailing nt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex ex, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 200	<u>15</u> .				
2a) This action is FI							
3) Since this applic	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accord	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)		wn from consi					
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	is objected to by the Examine led on is/are: a) according that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct aration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) drawing(s) be lition is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure Sta	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. Notice of Informal F	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,167

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species S1: claims 1-5, 29, 33, and 34.

Species S2: claims 6-12.

Species S3: claims 18-28, and 30-32.

The species are distinct because they are independent from each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 13-17 and 35 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,167

Art Unit: 3714

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,167

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BN

Robert E Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3714